

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Tyrone C Glasco, Sr.,
Plaintiff,

v.

Joseph R Biden, et al.,
Defendants.

No. CV-23-00202-PHX-CDB

ORDER

This matter was assigned to Magistrate Judge Camille D. Bibles. (Doc. 3). On February 21, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court.¹ (Doc. 10). Then, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, (Doc. 11), and the Magistrate Judge filed a second Report and Recommendation, (Doc. 12). To date, no objections have been filed.

¹ This case is assigned to a Magistrate Judge. However, not all parties have consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Thus, the matter is before this Court pursuant to General Order 21-25, which states in relevant part:

When a United States Magistrate Judge to whom a civil action has been assigned pursuant to Local Rule 3.7(a)(1) considers dismissal to be appropriate but lacks the jurisdiction to do so under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1) due to incomplete status of election by the parties to consent or not consent to the full authority of the Magistrate Judge,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge will prepare a Report and Recommendation for the Chief United States District Judge or designee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED designating the following District Court Judges to review and, if deemed suitable, to sign the order of dismissal on my behalf:

Phoenix/Prescott: Senior United States District Judge Stephen M. McNamee

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate’s recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo* review of the Magistrate Judge’s factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s conclusion “is a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal.” Id.

DISCUSSION

Having reviewed both Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, and no Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendations.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,

IT IS ORDERED adopting the second Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 12).


IT IS ORDERED adopting to the extent it is not moot the first Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 10).

IT IS ORDERED dismissing without prejudice Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint. (Doc. 11).

IT IS ORDERED denying as moot Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis. (Doc. 2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing the Clerk of the Court to terminate this matter.

Dated this 5th day of April, 2023.


Honorable Stephen M. McNamee
Senior United States District Judge